VSP Public Comment

From: boricuavv@peoplepc.com
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2005 8:24 AM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs

Cc: McDannold, Bruce **Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should Calfornia reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

It's not acceptable to undermine the democratic election systems here in California or anywhere by not providing a clear, easily-recounted paper trail. Diebold is not cooperating. It wants to issue tiny, easily lost, hard to read voting receipts that will cost California a fortune to count if needed. What sort of contractor cannot accommodate the simples and most reasonable request for a validation trail for their work? Also, voting online would be great, IF we didn't have so many hackers easily breaking into systems. NO ELECTRONIC ONLINE VOTING UNTIL SITES ARE 100% SECURE.

We MUST have a verifiable, economically-feasible paper trail for our voting systems. Do not allow Diebold to get this contract without providing that. We MUST have secure voting processes to vote online, and this is not the time to permit online voting with software systems broken into daily.

Ms. Virginia Velez 162A Linda St. San Francisco, CA 94110

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.